

## GROTHENDIECK GROUPS OF QUOTIENT SINGULARITIES

EDUARDO DO NASCIMENTO MARCOS

**ABSTRACT.** Given a quotient singularity  $R = S^G$  where  $S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is the formal power series ring in  $n$ -variables over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , there is an epimorphism of Grothendieck groups  $\psi : G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R)$ , where  $S[G]$  is the skew group ring and  $\psi$  is induced by the fixed point functor.

The Grothendieck group of  $S[G]$  carries a natural structure of a ring, isomorphic to  $G_0(\mathbb{C}[G])$ .

We show how the structure of  $G_0(R)$  is related to the structure of the ramification locus of  $V$  over  $V/G$ , and the action of  $G$  on it.

The first connection is given by showing that  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is the ideal generated by  $[\mathbb{C}]$  if and only if  $G$  acts freely on  $V$ . That this is sufficient has been proved by Auslander and Reiten in [4]. To prove the necessity we show the following:

Let  $U$  be an integrally closed domain and  $T$  the integral closure of  $U$  in a finite Galois extension of the field of quotients of  $U$  with Galois group  $G$ . Suppose that  $|G|$  is invertible in  $U$ , the inclusion of  $U$  in  $T$  is unramified at height one prime ideals and  $T$  is regular. Then  $G_0(T[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $U$  is regular.

We analyze the situation  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbb{C}[G]} V_2$  where  $G$  acts freely on  $V_1$ ,  $V_1 \neq 0$ .

We prove that for a quotient singularity  $R$ ,  $G_0(R) \cong G_0(R[[t]])$ .

We also study the structure of  $G_0(R)$  for some cases with  $\dim R = 3$ .

### INTRODUCTION

The objective of this paper is to study connections between the structure of the Grothendieck group  $G_0(R)$  of a quotient singularity  $R = S^G$  and the ramification theory of the extension  $R \rightarrow S$ .

We begin by defining these concepts.

(1) We recall that a quotient singularity  $R$  is a ring of the form  $R = S^G$  where  $S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is the formal power series in  $n$  variables over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $G$  is a finite subgroup of  $GL(N, \mathbb{C})$ . The latter group acts on  $S$  by those  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra automorphisms which are induced by the linear action on the variables. We assume always  $n \geq 2$ .

We remark that  $R$  and  $S$  are integrally closed noetherian complete local domains and that  $S$  is a finitely generated module over  $R$ .

Every ring we consider is noetherian with one and every module is finitely generated.

(2) The inclusion of  $G$  in  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  gives an action of  $G$  on the affine space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  so that we have a quotient variety  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  and a surjective morphism

---

Received by the editors June 17, 1987 and, in revised form, March 1, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 19A49.

©1992 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/92 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

$\pi : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n/G$ . In this situation we recall the following definitions:

(a) A point  $y \in \mathbf{C}^n$  is called ramified if the cardinality of the orbit of  $y$ ,  $|G(y)|$ , is smaller than  $|G|$ , the order of  $G$ .

(b) The ramification locus is the set of ramified points, i.e.  $\{y \in \mathbf{C}^n : y \text{ is ramified}\}$ . This is a union of linear subspaces.

We suppose that  $G$  contains no pseudo-reflections which means that all subspaces in the ramification locus have dimension less than  $n - 1$ .

(c) Let  $W$  be an irreducible subvariety of  $\mathbf{C}^n/G$  and  $I(W)$  its ideal in  $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ . Then  $W$  is called unramified if there is a point in  $W$  that is unramified. Since  $R$  is the completion of  $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$  with respect to  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cap \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$  we have that if  $\pi(0) \in W$  then  $W$  is unramified if and only if  $I(W) \cdot R$  is unramified in  $S$ .

The hypothesis on the nonexistence of pseudo-reflections is equivalent to the assumption that the extension  $R \rightarrow S$  is unramified at height one prime ideals.

(3) If  $0$  is the only ramified point of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  we say that  $G$  acts freely. This is equivalent to saying that  $R_{\underline{p}}$  is regular for all  $\underline{p}$  nonmaximal. A ring with this property is called an isolated singularity.

(4) We recall now the definition of the Grothendieck group,  $G_0(\Lambda)$ , of a ring  $\Lambda$ . It is the quotient of the free abelian group whose generators are the isomorphism classes of left modules  $[M]$ , with relations given by  $[M] - [M'] - [M'']$  for each exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated left  $\Lambda$ -modules.

We want to investigate how the structure of  $G_0(R)$  is connected with the structure of the ramification locus and the action of  $G$  on it.

We study the Grothendieck group  $G_0(R)$  in terms of the Grothendieck group,  $G_0(S[G])$ , of the skew group ring  $S[G]$ .

The skew group ring  $S[G]$  is the free module over  $S$  with basis the elements of  $G$  and the ring multiplication given by  $(s_1\sigma_1)(s_2\sigma_2) = s_1(\sigma_1(s_2))(\sigma_1\sigma_2)$  for  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G$ .

An  $S[G]$  module is an  $S$ -module with an action of  $G$  s.t.  $\sigma(sm) = \sigma(s)\sigma(m)$  for  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $s \in S$ , and  $m \in M$ .

This study began with the work of Auslander and Reiten, *Grothendieck groups of algebras and orders* [4].

They defined an epimorphism  $\psi : G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R)$  by  $[M] \rightarrow [M^G]$  for  $M$  an  $S[G]$ -module, where  $M^G = \{m \in M \text{ s.t. } \sigma(m) = m, \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\}$ .

Since  $S[G]$  is regular and  $S$  is complete one gets that  $G_0(S[G])$  is the free abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective  $S[G]$ -modules which in turn are the classes of indecomposable direct summands of  $S[G]$ . Therefore  $G_0(S[G])$  is a finitely generated free abelian group, the map  $\psi$  gives a natural presentation of  $G_0(R)$  and  $G_0(R)$  is in particular finitely generated. Moreover, Auslander and Reiten proved that  $G_0(R) \cong Z[R] \amalg T$  where  $T$  is finite.

If we take in  $S[G]$  the idempotent  $e = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma/|G|$  and let  $(\underline{e})$  denote the two-sided ideal of  $S[G]$  generated by  $e$  we have an exact sequence  $G_0(S[G]/(\underline{e})) \rightarrow G_0(S[G]) \xrightarrow{\psi} G_0(R) \rightarrow 0$ .

We show that for  $\underline{a} = \text{Ker } \theta$ , where  $\theta$  is the ring homomorphism  $\theta : S \rightarrow S[G]/(\underline{e})$  given by  $\theta(s) = s.1$ , a prime ideal  $\underline{q}$  of  $S$  is ramified over  $R$  iff  $\underline{q} \supset \underline{a}$ . We prove, in addition, that the natural map  $G_0(S/\underline{a}[G]/(\underline{e})) \rightarrow G_0(S[G]/(\underline{e}))$

is an isomorphism. Hence we get an exact sequence

$$G_0(S/\underline{a}[G]/(\underline{e})) \rightarrow G_0(S[G]) \xrightarrow{\psi} G_0(R) \rightarrow 0.$$

This yields a way of computing  $\text{Ker } \psi$ . As the ring  $(S/\underline{a}[G]/(\underline{e}))$  is defined in terms of the ramification, it follows that there is a clear connection between  $\text{Ker } \psi$  and the ramification.

Since  $\dim R \geq 1$ ,  $R$  is complete and  $R/\underline{m}R \cong \mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed, it follows that the classes of finite length modules are zero in  $G_0(R)$ . As a consequence, the classes of finite length  $S[G]$ -modules are in  $\text{Ker } \psi$ .

The subgroup of  $G_0(S[G])$  generated by the classes of f.l.  $S[G]$ -modules can be described by putting the ring structure on  $G_0(S[G])$  for which  $[P_1] \cdot [P_2] = [P_1 \otimes_S P_2]$ , if  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are two projective  $S[G]$ -modules.

We remark that  $G_0(S[G])$  is isomorphic to the classical ring of representations  $G_0(\mathbb{C}[G])$ .

The subgroup of  $G_0(S[G])$  generated by the classes of finite length  $S[G]$ -modules is the principal ideal  $[\mathbb{C}]G_0(S[G])$  where  $\mathbb{C} = S/\underline{m}_S$  as an  $S[G]$ -module.

Since  $R$  is integrally closed there is a natural epimorphism

$$G_0(R) \xrightarrow{\pi} Z \amalg \text{Cl}(R) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\text{Cl}(R)$  is the divisor class group. In our case  $\text{Cl}(R)$  is isomorphic to  $G^* = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C} - \{0\})$ .

We show that the kernel of the composition  $\pi\psi : G_0([G]) \rightarrow Z \amalg \text{Cl}(R) \rightarrow 0$  is an ideal  $\underline{J}$  that contains  $\text{Ker } \psi$ . Moreover  $\underline{I}^2 \subset \underline{J} \subset \underline{I}$  where  $\underline{I} = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon : G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow Z$  is the map  $\varepsilon([M]) = \text{rank}_S M$  for  $M$  any  $S[G]$ -module.

So we have  $[\mathbb{C}]G_0(S[G]) \subset \text{Ker } \psi \subset \underline{J}$ .

In general  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is not an ideal and the examples we have, show that the property of  $\text{Ker } \psi$  being an ideal depends strongly on the action of  $G$  on the ramification locus.

If  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal one can use  $\psi$  to put a ring structure on  $G_0(R)$ .

We have the following characterization of  $R$  being an isolated singularity.

$R$  is an isolated singularity  $\Leftrightarrow \text{Ker } \psi = [\mathbb{C}]G_0(S[G])$ .

The implication  $(\Rightarrow)$  is based on the following new characterization of regularity of a fixed point ring of a regular ring.

Let  $U$  be an integrally closed local domain and  $T$  the integral closure of  $U$  in a finite Galois extension of the field of quotients of  $U$  with Galois group  $G$ . Suppose that  $|G|$  is invertible in  $U$  and that  $U \rightarrow T$  is unramified at height one prime ideals and that  $T$  is regular. Then  $\text{rank } G_0(T[G]) = 1$  iff  $U$  is regular.

We prove that, for a quotient singularity  $R$ ,  $G_0(R) \cong G_0(R[[t]])$  the isomorphism being given by the canonical mapping,  $[M] \rightarrow [M[[t]]]$  for  $M$  an  $R$ -module. We give a counterexample showing that this is not true for a more general ring  $U$ . We do not know a good characterization of rings  $U$  s.t.  $G_0(U) \cong G_0(U[[t]])$ .

At the end we study some cases of three-dimensional quotient singularities. We deal mainly with the case of  $G$  a cyclic group. There we give a description of  $\text{Ker } \psi$  and we describe some cases where  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal.

This paper consists almost entirely of my (1987) doctoral dissertation at Brandeis University. I would like to take this opportunity to thank Professor

Maurice Auslander, my thesis advisor, for many suggestions, ideas and helpful discussions, I also thank Professor D. Farkas for helpful discussions and Professor E. Green for having made my stay at VPI & SU for one year possible. Finally I thank CNPq and IME-USP, both of Brazil, for their support during these four years.

I want to thank the referee for his patience and Lynn Olinger for her patience in the typing.

## CHAPTER I

### 1

Consider the epimorphism  $\psi : G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R)$ . We know that the subgroup of  $G_0(S[G])$  generated by the classes of finite length modules is the principal ideal  $[C]G_0(S[G])$ ; moreover we know that this subgroup is contained in  $\text{Ker } \psi$ .

There is a map  $\theta : G_0(R) \rightarrow Z \amalg \text{Cl}(R) \rightarrow 0$  whose kernel is the subgroup of  $G_0(R)$  generated by the classes  $[R/\underline{p}]$  with  $\underline{p}$  any prime ideal such that  $\text{ht } \underline{p} > 2$ .

Define on the group  $Z \amalg \text{Cl}(R)$  the ring structure whose identity is  $(1, 0)$  and whose multiplication is given by  $(a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta) = (ab, a\beta + b\alpha)$  for all  $a, b$  in  $Z$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $\text{Cl}(R)$ . It follows that for all pairs of reflexive  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  it holds that  $\theta(M \otimes_R N) = \theta((M \otimes_R N)^{**}) = \theta(M) \cdot \theta(N)$ . Therefore the group epimorphism  $\theta\psi : G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow Z \amalg \text{Cl}(R)$  satisfies

$$\theta\psi[S] = (1, 0)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta\psi)([P_1] \cdot [P_2]) &= \theta\psi([P_1 \otimes_S P_2]) = \theta([(P_1 \otimes_S P_2)^G]) \\ &= \theta((P_1^G \otimes_R P_2^G)^{**}) = \theta\psi[P_1] \cdot \theta\psi[P_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\theta\psi$  is a ring map whose kernel  $\underline{J}$  contains  $\text{Ker } \psi$ . Moreover if we take  $\varepsilon : G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow Z$  given by  $\varepsilon([M]) = \text{rank}_S(M)$  and  $\underline{I} = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$  then  $\underline{I}^2 \subset \underline{J} \subset \underline{I}$  as for  $x, y$  in  $\underline{I}$  one has

$$\theta\psi(x \cdot y) = \theta\psi(x) \cdot \theta\psi(y) = 0.$$

If  $G$  is commutative then  $|I/I^2| = |G| = |\text{Cl}(R)|$  and hence in this case  $\underline{I}^2 = \underline{J}$ .

The remarks above show the following:

**Proposition 1.1.** *Set*

$$\underline{J} = \text{Ker}(G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow Z \amalg \text{Cl}(R)) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{I} = \text{Ker}(G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow Z).$$

*Then  $\underline{J}$  is an ideal and  $[C]G_0(S[G]) \subset \text{Ker } \psi \subset \underline{J}$ . Moreover  $\underline{I}^2 \subset \underline{J} \subset \underline{I}$  and  $\underline{I}^2 = \underline{J}$  if  $G$  is commutative.  $\square$*

We remark that if  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal then  $G_0(R)$  inherits a natural ring structure. As we are going to show, whether  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal depends on how  $G$  acts on the ramification locus.

We show that  $\text{Ker } \psi = G_0(S[G]) \cdot [C]$  iff  $R$  is an isolated singularity, equivalently iff ramification locus  $= \{0\}$ .

We need for this some basic facts which we now recall.

As a consequence of the purity of branch locus, see [1], we have the following: Suppose  $U \subset T$  is an extension of normal local rings, with  $T$  a finitely generated  $U$ -module. Suppose this extension is unramified at height one prime ideals and  $T$  is regular. Then a prime  $\underline{p}$  of  $U$  is unramified in  $T$  if and only if  $U_{\underline{p}}$  is regular.

Moreover, see for example [4], we have the following: Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group of automorphisms of a commutative ring  $T$  with  $T^G = U$ . Then the following are equivalent:

(a) The fixed point functor  $\underline{P}T[G] \rightarrow \text{add}_U T$ , given by  $P \rightarrow P^G$ , from the category  $\underline{P}T[G]$  of projective  $T[G]$ -modules to the category  $\text{add}_U T$  of sums of direct summands of  $T$ , is an equivalence of categories.

(b) The  $U$ -algebra morphism  $\gamma : T[G] \rightarrow \text{End}_U T$  given by  $\gamma(t\sigma)(x) = t\sigma(x)$  for all  $t$  in  $T$ ,  $\sigma$  in  $G$  and  $x$  in  $T$ , is an isomorphism.

If in addition  $T$  is normal, these conditions are also equivalent to:

(c) Each height one prime ideal  $\underline{p}$  of  $U$  is unramified in  $T$ .

We show now the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.2.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group of automorphisms of a commutative ring  $T$  with fixed point a ring  $U = T^G$ ,  $U$  a local ring. Let  $\hat{\phantom{x}}$  denote completion. Then  $G$  acts on  $\hat{T}$  by automorphisms. Moreover if the fixed point functors  $(\ )^G : \underline{P}T[G] \rightarrow \text{add}_U T$  is an equivalence of categories then  $\underline{P}\hat{T}[G] \rightarrow \text{add}_{\hat{U}} \hat{T}$  is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* First we see that there is a natural action of  $G$  on  $\hat{T} = \hat{U} \otimes_U T$  by defining  $\sigma(u \otimes t) = u \otimes \sigma(t)$  for  $u \in \hat{U}$ ,  $t \in T$ ,  $\sigma \in G$ . It is easy to see that  $\sigma(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t_n)$  if  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $T$ . If the map  $\gamma : T[G] \rightarrow \text{End}_U T$ ,  $\gamma(t\sigma)(x) = t\sigma(x)$  is an isomorphism then  $\hat{\gamma} : \hat{T}[G] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\hat{U}} \hat{T}$  is an isomorphism so  $(\ )^G : \underline{P}\hat{T}[G] \rightarrow \text{add}_{\hat{U}} \hat{T}$  is an equivalence.  $\square$

We have as a consequence the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $U$  be a normal local ring with field of quotients  $K$ . Let  $L$  be a finite Galois extension of  $K$  with Galois group  $G$  where  $|G|$  is invertible in  $U$ , and  $T$  the integral closure of  $U$  in  $L$ . Suppose that  $U \rightarrow T$  is unramified at height one prime ideals and that  $T$  is regular. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *Up to isomorphism, there is a unique indecomposable module in  $\underline{P}T[G]$ .*
- (2)  *$U$  is regular.*
- (3)  *$G_0(T[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .*
- (4)  *$\text{rank } G_0(T[G]) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* (1) is equivalent to (2). Because  $U \rightarrow T$  is unramified at height one primes we have that taking fixed points induces an equivalence of categories between  $\underline{P}T[G]$  and  $\text{add}_U T$ . Moreover  $U$  is unramified in  $T$  if and only if  $U$  is regular and this happens if and only if  $T$  is a projective  $U$ -module i.e. if and only if  $\text{add}_U T$  has only one indecomposable object up to isomorphism. Since  $\text{add}_U T$  is equivalent to  $\underline{P}T[G]$ , we have the statement.

(1) implies (3).

Since  $T$  is regular,  $T[G]$  is regular and the Cartan map gives an isomorphism between  $K_0(\underline{P}T[G])$  and  $G_0(T[G])$ . So if there is only one class of indecomposable projective  $T[G]$ -modules then  $G_0(T[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

(3) implies (4). This is obvious.

(4) implies (1):

Suppose first that  $T$  is complete. Then the Krull-Schmidt Theorem holds for  $T[G]$ -modules. As  $T[G]$  is also regular it follows that  $G_0(T[G])$  is the free abelian group on the isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective  $T[G]$ -modules. So (4) implies (1) in this case.

Now suppose  $T$  is not complete. Since  $\hat{U}$  is flat over  $U$  we have the canonical map

$$\theta : G_0(T[G]) \rightarrow G_0(\hat{T}[G]) \text{ given by } \theta[M] = [\hat{U} \otimes_U M] = [\hat{M}].$$

$G_0(\hat{T}[G])$  is the free abelian group in the classes of nonisomorphic indecomposable summands of  $\hat{T}[G]$ . The equivalences of categories  $\text{add}_{\hat{U}} \hat{T}$  and  $\underline{P}\hat{T}[G]$ , given by the former lemma, shows that  $\hat{T}$  is an indecomposable  $\hat{T}[G]$ -module, as it corresponds to  $\hat{U}$  under the equivalence. Since  $[\hat{T}[G]] = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i [P_i]$  where  $n_i$  is the multiplicity of each indecomposable  $P_i$  in  $\hat{T}[G]$ , it follows from  $\text{rank } G_0(T[G]) = 1$ , that  $[\hat{T}]$  and  $[\hat{T}[G]]$  are linearly dependent in  $G_0(\hat{T}[G])$ . Hence  $k = 1$  in that case and  $\underline{P}\hat{T}[G]$  has only one indecomposable object, up to isomorphism, (namely  $\hat{T}$ ). Hence  $\hat{U} \rightarrow \hat{T}$  is unramified and therefore  $U \rightarrow T$  is unramified too. This implies that  $\underline{P}T[G]$  contains only one indecomposable object, up to isomorphism.  $\square$

## 2

In this section we prove two theorems, the first of which establishes an exact diagram of Grothendieck groups, clarifying the strong connection between the structure of the group  $\text{Ker } \psi$  and the ramification theory of  $R$  in  $S$ . Here  $R = S^G$ ,  $S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  and  $G$  is a finite subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . We assume throughout that  $G$  has no pseudo-reflections, i.e. there is no nonidentity element  $\sigma$  in  $G$  s.t.  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Im}(1 - \sigma)) \leq 1$ . This is equivalent to the inclusion of  $R$  in  $S$  being unramified at height one prime ideals. As a consequence of the theorem on the purity of branch locus, [1], it follows that a prime ideal  $\underline{p}$  in  $R$  is unramified in  $S$  if and only if  $R_{\underline{p}}$  is regular.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  without pseudo-reflections and  $R = S^G$ , where  $S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . Let  $e = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma$  and  $(\underline{e})$  the two-sided ideal generated by the idempotent  $e$ . Let  $\underline{a} = \text{Ker}(S \rightarrow S[G]/(\underline{e}))$  be given by  $s \rightarrow s.1$ . Then a prime ideal  $\underline{q}$  of  $S$  is ramified  $\Leftrightarrow \underline{a} \subset \underline{q}$ . Moreover  $\underline{a}$  and  $\sqrt{\underline{a}}$  are  $G$ -ideals.*

*Proof.* Let  $\underline{q} \in \text{Spec } S$  and  $\underline{p} = \underline{q} \cap R$ . Then  $(S[G]/(\underline{e}))\underline{p} = 0 \Leftrightarrow (\underline{\text{End}}_R S)_{\underline{p}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \underline{p}$  is unramified  $\Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \notin \underline{p}$  s.t.  $\alpha S[G] \subset (\underline{e})$ .

Thus if  $\underline{q}$  is unramified there is  $\alpha \in (e) \cap S$  with  $\alpha \notin \underline{q}$  s.t.  $\alpha S[G] \subset (\underline{e})$  and  $\underline{a} \supset \underline{q}$ . If  $\underline{a} \supset \underline{q}$  then  $(\underline{\text{End}}_R S)_{\underline{p}} = 0$ . This proves the first part of the statement.

For the second part, observe that if  $\theta(a) \in (e)$  and  $\sigma \in G$  then

$$\theta(\alpha(a)) = \sigma(a) \cdot 1 = \sigma(\theta(a)\sigma^{-1}) \in (\underline{e}).$$

Now  $s \in \sqrt{a} \Leftrightarrow s^k \in a$  for some  $k \in N^*$ . For such  $k$ ,  $\sigma(s)^k = \sigma(s^k) \in (a)$ . Hence

$$s \in \sqrt{a} \Rightarrow \sigma(s) \in \sqrt{a} \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in G.$$

We have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $R \rightarrow S$ , be unramified at height one prime ideals as before. Assume given a  $G$ -ideal  $\underline{b}$  of  $S$ , i.e. an  $S[G]$ -submodule of  $S$ , such that  $\underline{b} \subset \sqrt{a}$  where  $\underline{a} = \text{Ker}(S \rightarrow S[G]/(e))$ . Then the following diagram is commutative and exact:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G_0(S/\underline{b}[G]/(\underline{e})) & \longrightarrow & G_0(S/\underline{b}[G]) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & G_0((S/\underline{b})^G) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \eta & & \\ G_0(S[G]/(\underline{e})) & \longrightarrow & G_0(S[G]) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G_0(R) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The maps  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  are induced by the functor of fixed points and all the others are induced by the canonical epimorphisms of rings.

*Proof.* It is easy to check that the diagram commutes. That the map

$$G_0(S/\underline{b}[G]/(\underline{e})) \rightarrow G_0(S[G]/(e))$$

is an isomorphism follows from the last proposition and the following well-known result: Given a ring  $T$  with nilpotent radical  $\text{nil } T$ , the natural map  $G_0(T/\text{nil } T) \rightarrow G_0(T)$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

We call the diagram above the fundamental diagram. Applying the exact functor  $(\ )^G$  to the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \underline{b} \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/\underline{b} \rightarrow 0$  it follows that  $(S/\underline{b})^G \cong R/\underline{b} \cap R$ .

We remark that  $\underline{m}_R$  is the only ramified prime if and only if  $G$  acts freely, i.e.  $\sigma(x) = x$  iff  $\sigma = I$  or  $x = 0$ . Moreover this is equivalent to  $R$  being an isolated singularity, i.e.  $R_{\underline{p}}$  being regular for all nonmaximal prime ideals  $\underline{p}$ . We have the following characterization of this.

**Theorem 2.3.**  *$R$  is an isolated singularity if and only if  $\text{Ker}(G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R)) = [\mathbf{C}]G_0(S[G])$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G$  acts freely. Then  $R$  is an isolated singularity and  $\sqrt{a} = \underline{m}_S$  where

$$\underline{a} = \text{Ker}(S \rightarrow S[G]/(e)).$$

Observing that  $S/\underline{m}_S \cong \mathbf{C}$ , the fundamental diagram with  $\underline{b} = \underline{m}_S$  becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e})) & \longrightarrow & G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) & \longrightarrow & G_0(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & G_0(S[G]/(\underline{e})) & \longrightarrow & G_0(S[G]) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G_0(R) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

It is clear that the classes of finite  $S[G]$ -modules generate  $\text{Ker } \psi$ . One sees even that the classes  $[W]$  of  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -modules  $W$  with  $W$  indecomposable, non-trivial, form a basis of  $\text{Ker } \psi$ .

We prove now the other implication. Auslander and Reiten proved in [5] that

$$\text{rank } G_0(R) = \text{rank } G_0(S) = 1.$$

Suppose that  $G$  does not act freely. Then we will prove that

$$\text{rank } G_0(S[G])/[C]G_0(S[G]) > 1,$$

so it cannot be isomorphic to  $G_0(R)$ .

If  $G$  does not act freely then there is a prime  $\underline{p} \notin \underline{m}_R$  which is ramified. We have that the canonical epimorphism  $G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(S_{\underline{p}}[G]) \rightarrow 0$  contains in its kernel the classes of all finite length modules, as  $\overline{M}_{\underline{p}} = 0$  for all finite length modules,  $\underline{p}$  a nonmaximal prime ideal. Therefore we get an epimorphism  $G_0(S[G])/[C]G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R_{\underline{p}}) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $(S_{\underline{p}})^G = R_{\underline{p}}$  is ramified in  $S_{\underline{p}}$ , Theorem 1.3 shows that  $\text{rank } G_0(S_{\underline{p}}[G]) > 1$ , hence  $\text{rank } G_0(S[G])/[C]G_0(S[G]) > 1$ .  $\square$

We recall some more facts proved in [4].  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])$  has a well-known ring structure with product  $[W_1] \cdot [W_2] = [W_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} W_2]$  for  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -modules  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ . The map  $\beta : G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])$  given by  $\beta([P]) = [P/\text{rad } P]$  for  $P$  in  $\underline{PS}[G]$ , is a ring isomorphism. Furthermore  $\beta([C]) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i [\Lambda^i V]$  where  $\overline{V}$  is the  $n$ -dimensional module induced by the inclusion of  $G$  in  $GL(n, \mathbf{C}) = GL(V)$ .

From these facts and Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following Corollary:

**Corollary.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $G_0(R) \cong G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])/(\sum (-1)^i [\Lambda^i V] G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]))$ ,
- (2)  $\text{rank } G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])/(\sum (-1)^i [\Lambda^i V] G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])) = 1$ ,
- (3)  $G$  acts freely on  $V$ .
- (4)  $\text{Ker}(G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R)) = [C] \cdot G_0(S[G])$ .

### 3

If  $R$  is an isolated singularity,  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal and hence  $G_0(R)$  has a ring structure. We give now a condition on the  $R$ -module  $S$  that is equivalent to  $\text{Ker } \psi$  being an ideal.

**Definition.** Let  $T$  be an integrally closed commutative domain and  $L$  a reflexive  $T$ -module.

(1) We call  $L$  a Grothendieck module if the map  $\text{Obj Ref } T \rightarrow G_0(T)$  given by  $M \rightarrow [M \cdot L] = [(M \otimes_R L)^{**}]$  is additive, in the sense that for every exact sequence,  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ , of reflexive  $T$ -modules, one has  $[M_2 \cdot L] = [M_1 \cdot L] + [M_3 \cdot L]$ .

(2) We denote by  $A(T)$  the subgroup of  $G_0(T)$  generated by the classes of Grothendieck modules.

(3) If  $A(T) = G_0(T)$  we call  $T$  a Grothendieck ring and in this case each family  $(L_i)_{i \in I}$  of Grothendieck modules generating  $G_0(T)$  is called a Grothendieck family.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $T$  be an integrally closed domain.*

(1)  $A(T)$  has a ring structure, with identity  $[T]$  and product  $[L_1][L_2] = [L_1 \cdot L_2]$  for  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  Grothendieck modules.

(2)  $G_0(T)$  is an  $A(T)$ -module if we define  $[L] \cdot [M] = [L \cdot M]$  for all Grothendieck modules  $L$  and all reflexive modules  $M$ .

*Proof.* Fix a Grothendieck module  $L$ . Since the map  $\text{Obj Ref} - \text{mod } T \rightarrow G_0(T)$  is additive, we have a group endomorphism  $G_0(T) \xrightarrow{[L]} G_0(T)$  given by



$[M] \rightarrow [L \cdot M]$  for any reflexive module  $M$ . We claim that if  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are Grothendieck modules then  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  is a Grothendieck module. Namely, if  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of reflexive  $T$ -modules, then  $[(L_1 \cdot L_2) \cdot B] = [L_1 \cdot (L_2 \cdot B)] = [L_1] \cdot [L_2 \cdot B] = [L_1]([L_2 \cdot A] + [L_2 \cdot C]) = [L_1] \cdot [L_2 \cdot A] + [L_1] \cdot [L_2 \cdot C] = [(L_1 \cdot L_2) \cdot A] + [(L_1 \cdot L_2) \cdot C]$ , which proves the claim.

It follows easily that the map  $A(T) \times A(T) \rightarrow A(T)$  given by

$$\left(\sum \lambda_i [L_i]\right) \left(\sum \mu_j [L_j]\right) = \sum \lambda_i \mu_j [L_i \cdot L_j]$$

for  $\lambda_i$  and  $\mu_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $L_i$  and  $L_j$  Grothendieck modules is well defined and makes  $A(T)$  a ring with unit  $[T]$ . Moreover it follows that  $G_0(T)$  is an  $A(T)$ -module.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $R = S^G$  be a quotient singularity. Then*

$$\text{Ker}(G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R))$$

*is an ideal iff the summands of the  $R$ -module  $S$  are Grothendieck modules.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal. We use the epimorphism  $\psi : G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R)$ , to put a ring structure on  $G_0(R)$ , whose multiplication we denote by “ $\times$ ”. Now fix a summand  $L$  of  $S$  and a reflexive  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then  $L = P^G$  and  $M = N^G$  where  $P \in \underline{PS}[G]$  and  $N \in \text{Ref } S[G]$ , see [4]. Thus  $\psi(P \otimes_S N) = [L \cdot M] = \psi([P]) \times \psi([N]) = [L] \times [M]$ . Hence, if  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of reflexive  $R$ -modules, then  $[L \cdot B] = [L] \times [B] = [L] \times ([A] + [C]) = [L \cdot A] + [L \cdot C]$  i.e.  $L$  is a Grothendieck module.

Now suppose that every summand of  $S$  is a Grothendieck  $R$ -module. Then the last theorem says that  $G_0(R)$  has a ring structure where  $[L_1] \cdot [L_2] = [L_1 \cdot L_2]$  for  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  summands of  $S$ .

Let  $L_1 = P_1^G$ ,  $L_2 = P_2^G$  where  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are in  $\underline{PS}[G]$ . As we have  $\psi([P_1 \otimes_S P_2]) = [L_1 \cdot L_2]$ ,  $\psi$  is a ring map and consequently  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal.  $\square$

As we have seen in the beginning  $[C]G_0(S[G]) \subset \text{Ker } \psi \subset \underline{J}$ . The equality  $\text{Ker } \psi = \underline{J}$  holds exactly when the canonical epimorphism

$$G_0(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \amalg \text{Cl}(R) \rightarrow 0$$

is an isomorphism.

Our next result has the following consequence. If for an integrally closed domain  $T$  the map  $G_0(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \amalg \text{Cl}(T) \rightarrow 0$  is an isomorphism, then  $T$  is a Grothendieck ring and every reflexive  $T$ -module is a Grothendieck module.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $T$  be an integrally closed domain and  $\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  a family of prime ideals in  $T$  such that  $[T/p_\alpha] = 0$  in  $G_0(T)$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ . If  $M$  is a reflexive  $T$ -module such that  $M_{\underline{q}}$  is  $T_{\underline{q}}$ -free for every prime ideal  $\underline{q}$  with  $\underline{q} \notin \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ , then  $M$  is a Grothendieck module. Moreover, in this case  $[M \cdot N] = [M] \cdot [N] = [M \otimes_R N]$  for all reflexive  $T$ -modules  $N$ .*

*Proof.* From an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  of reflexive  $T$ -modules, we obtain an exact sequence  $A \cdot M \rightarrow B \cdot M \rightarrow C \cdot M$ , and

$$\text{Supp}(\text{Ker}(A \cdot M \rightarrow B \cdot M))$$

as well as

$$\text{Supp}(\text{Coker}(B \cdot M \rightarrow C \cdot M))$$

are contained in  $\{\underline{p}_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ . It is known that given a  $T$ -module  $L$  there is a composition series  $(L_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$  s.t. for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $L_i/L_{i+1} \cong T/\underline{q}_i$  where each  $\underline{q}_i$  is a prime ideal in  $\text{supp } L$ . (See for instance IV, 4.2 of [8].) Hence it follows that the class  $[L]$  of a module  $L$  is contained in the subgroup of  $G_0(T)$  generated by  $\{[T/\underline{q}_i] : \underline{q}_i \in \text{supp } L\}$ . As  $[T/\underline{p}_i] = 0$  in our case, we get  $[A \cdot M] + [C \cdot M] = [B \cdot M]$ , i.e.  $M$  is a Grothendieck module.

Since the natural map  $(M \otimes N)_{\underline{q}} \rightarrow (M_{\underline{q}} \cdot N_{\underline{q}})$  is an isomorphism for  $\underline{q} \notin \{\underline{p}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ , the same argument shows that  $[M \otimes N] = [M \cdot N]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $R = S^G$  be a quotient singularity. Then the subgroup of  $G_0(R)$  generated by the classes  $[M]$  of modules  $M$  which are free outside the maximal ideal is a subring of  $A(R)$ . Its identity is  $[R]$  and the product is defined by  $[M] \cdot [N] = [M \otimes N] = [M \cdot N]$  for all modules  $M$  and  $N$  which are free outside the maximal ideal.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the proposition, as  $[R/m_R] = [C] = 0$  in  $G_0(R)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Suppose that  $T$  is an integrally closed domain and that the canonical epimorphism between  $G_0(T)$  and  $\mathbf{Z} \cdot [T] \amalg \text{Cl}(T)$  is an isomorphism. Then every reflexive module is a Grothendieck module. Moreover the family of rank one reflexive modules is a Grothendieck family.*

*Proof.* To say that the canonical epimorphism is an isomorphism is equivalent to  $[T/\underline{p}] = 0$  for all prime ideals  $\underline{p}$  with  $\text{ht } \underline{p} \geq 2$ , see [8]. Since for every reflexive  $T$ -module  $M$  it holds that  $M_{\underline{p}}$  is free for  $\text{ht } \underline{p} \leq 1$ , it follows, from Proposition 3.3, that every reflexive module is Grothendieck. Moreover, since in this case the classes of reflexive rank one modules generate  $G_0(T)$ , they form a Grothendieck family.  $\square$

*Remark.* (1) If  $A$  and  $B$  are rank one reflexive modules and if  $C(M)$  denotes the divisor associated with  $M$ , one has  $C(A \otimes B) = C(A) + C(B)$ , see [8]. It follows that if  $G_0(T) \cong \mathbf{Z} \amalg \text{Cl}(T)$  then the product is given by  $(m, \alpha)(m', \alpha') = (mm', m\alpha' + m'\alpha)$  for  $m$  and  $m'$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  in  $\text{Cl}(T)$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** *A Dedekind domain is a Grothendieck ring.*

## CHAPTER II. GROUPS ACTING FREELY ON SUBSPACES, ONE RAMIFIED LINE AND FORMAL POWER SERIES

In this chapter we use the fundamental diagram to get an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]^G)/\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]] \rightarrow G_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]^G) \rightarrow 0$$

in the case where  $G$  acts freely on  $V_1$  and  $V$  has a decomposition, as  $\mathbf{C}[G]$  module, of the form  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} V_2$ .

We can use this sequence to get information about  $G_0(R)$ . For instance we show that, in this case,  $G_0(R) \cong \mathbf{Z}$  iff the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\dim V_1 = 2$ .
- (2)  $G$  is the binary icosahedral group.
- (3)  $G$  acts trivially on  $V_2$ .

If the ramification locus consists of one line, we prove that  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal if and only if  $G$  acts trivially on this line.

In the last section we prove that if  $R$  is a quotient singularity, then the natural map

$$\theta : G_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(R[[t]]),$$

given by  $[M] \rightarrow [M \otimes_R R[[t]]]$  for  $M$  an  $R$ -module, is an isomorphism. This is not true for a general ring. A counterexample is provided by the ring  $T = Q(u)[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^3 + uz^6)$ .

# 1

We suppose in this section that the group  $G$  acts on

$$V = \mathbf{C}x_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \cdots \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}x_n, \quad n \geq 2,$$

without pseudo-reflections and that  $V$  admits a  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -module decomposition  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} V_2$ , where  $V_1 = \mathbf{C}x_1, \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \cdots \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}x_k$ ,  $V_2 = \mathbf{C}x_{k+1} \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \cdots \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}x_n$  with  $k < n$ ,  $G$  acting freely on  $V_1$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *There is an exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow G_0(R/(x_1, \dots, x_k)R)/\mathbf{Z}[S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)] \\ \xrightarrow{t} G_0(R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} G_0(R/(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \cap R) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $t$  is induced by the canonical epimorphism of rings  $R \rightarrow R/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap R$  and  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\alpha([(S \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} W)^G]) = [(S/(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} W)^G]$$

for any  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -module  $W$ .

*Proof.* The proof uses the isomorphism  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \cong G_0(S[G])$  and the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Suppose that  $G \neq \{1\}$  acts freely on a  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector space  $V$ . Take in  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])$  the element  $a = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim V} (-1)^i [\Lambda^i V]$  and set  $(\underline{a}) = a \cdot G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])$ . Then  $\text{ann}(\underline{a}) = [\mathbf{C}[G]]G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) = \mathbf{Z} \cdot [\mathbf{C}[G]]$ .*

*Proof.* The equality  $[\mathbf{C}[G]] \cdot G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) = \mathbf{Z} \cdot [\mathbf{C}[G]]$  comes from the fact that  $W \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}[G] \cong (\dim W)\mathbf{C}[G]$  for any  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -module  $W$ . We now show the other equality.

First assume in addition that  $G$  is cyclic. Then  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \cong \mathbf{Z}[\langle \sigma \rangle]$  where  $\sigma$  is a generator of  $G^* = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{C} - \{0\})$ . Using this isomorphism as an identification, one has  $\underline{a} = (\sigma - 1)^n = \Delta^n$ , the  $n$ th power of the augmentation ideal and  $[\mathbf{C}[G]] = \sum_{i=0}^{|G|-1} \sigma^i$ .

We use induction on  $n$ .

If  $n = 1$ , then the result is clear.

Suppose that the result is known for  $n - 1$ ,  $n > 1$ .

It is clear that  $(\sum_{i=0}^{|G|-1} \sigma^i)(\sigma - 1)^n = 0$ , so  $\mathbf{Z} \cdot (\sum_{i=0}^{|G|-1} \sigma^i) \subset \text{ann}(\sigma - 1)^n$ . Suppose that  $f(\sigma - 1)^n = 0$ . Then  $f(\sigma - 1)^{n-1}(\sigma - 1) = 0$  and therefore

$f(\sigma - 1)^{n-1} \in (\sigma - 1) \cap \text{ann}(\sigma - 1) = \{0\}$ . Hence  $f(\sigma - 1)^{n-1} = 0$  and by the induction hypothesis

$$f \in \left( \sum_{i=0}^{|G|-1} \sigma^i \right) \mathbf{Z}[\langle \sigma \rangle] = \mathbf{Z} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{|G|-1} \sigma \right).$$

Now suppose that  $G$  is an arbitrary finite group.

Let  $\dim: G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be the map given by  $\dim[W] = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} W$  for  $W$  a  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -module. If  $f \in G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])$  then  $f \cdot (\mathbf{C}[G]) = (\dim f)[\mathbf{C}[G]]$ . So  $f \cdot [\mathbf{C}[G]] = 0$  if and only if  $\dim f = 0$  which shows that  $[\mathbf{C}[G]]G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \subset \text{ann}(\underline{a})$ . Now suppose  $b \cdot a = 0$ ,  $b \in G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])$ . Denote by  $\text{res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle}^G$  the natural map  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[\langle \sigma \rangle])$  for  $\sigma \in G$ . Then for every  $\sigma \in G$  we have, by the first part,  $\text{res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle}^G b = m\langle \sigma \rangle[\mathbf{C}[\langle \sigma \rangle]]$  with  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Hence

$$\text{res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle}^G b = \frac{\dim b}{|\sigma|} \cdot [\mathbf{C}[\langle \sigma \rangle]].$$

Take in  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])$  the element  $\tilde{b} = (\dim b)[\mathbf{C}[G]]$ . Then for every  $\sigma \in G$  we have

$$\text{res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle}^G \tilde{b} = (\dim b) \frac{|G|}{|\langle \sigma \rangle|} [\mathbf{C}[\langle \sigma \rangle]] = |G| \text{res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle}^G b.$$

Now since we have a ring monomorphism

$$0 \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \xrightarrow{\coprod \text{res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle}^G} \coprod_{\sigma \in G} G_0(\mathbf{C}[\langle \sigma \rangle])$$

it follows that  $\tilde{b} = |G| \cdot b$  therefore  $|G|b = (\dim b)[\mathbf{C}[G]]$ . Taking the basis  $\{[W_2], \dots, [W_k], [\mathbf{C}[G]]\}$  of  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])$ , where  $W_i$  are all the irreducible non-trivial representations of  $G$ , we have  $b = \lambda_2[W_2] + \dots + \lambda_k[W_k] + u[\mathbf{C}[G]]$  for some  $\lambda_i$ 's and  $u$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

Therefore

$$|G| \cdot b = (\dim b)[\mathbf{C}[G]] = |G| \cdot (\lambda_2[W_2] + \dots + \lambda_k[W_k] + u[\mathbf{C}[G]]).$$

It follows that  $\lambda_i = 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, k$ , so  $b = u[\mathbf{C}[G]] \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[G]]$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the Theorem.* Applying the fundamental diagram with the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_0(S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)[G]/(e)) & \rightarrow & G_0(S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)[G]) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & G_0(R/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap R) \rightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \eta \\ G_0(S[G]/(e)) & \rightarrow & G_0(S[G]) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G_0(R) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and it follows from diagram chasing that  $\tau/\text{Ker } \theta : \text{Ker } \theta \rightarrow \text{Ker } \psi$  is an epimorphism. But  $\text{rank } G_0(R) = \text{rank } G_0(R/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap R) = 1$  and

$$G_0(S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)[G]) \cong G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \cong G_0(S[G]).$$

Hence  $\text{Ker } \theta$  and  $\text{Ker } \tau$  are free abelian groups of the same rank and it follows that  $\tau|_{\text{Ker } \theta} : \text{Ker } \theta \rightarrow \text{Ker } \psi$  is an isomorphism.

Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \tau & \longrightarrow & K_1 & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \theta & \longrightarrow & G_0(S/(x_1, \dots, x_n)[G]) & \longrightarrow & G_0(R/(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cap R) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \eta & \\
 & \text{Ker } \tau & \longrightarrow & G_0(S[G]) & \longrightarrow & G_0(R) & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & & & & \\
 & 0 & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

From the snake lemma it follows that  $\text{Ker } \tau \rightarrow K_1$  is an epimorphism. Also, diagram chasing shows that  $\text{coker } \tau \rightarrow \text{coker } \eta$  is an isomorphism. We also have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_0(S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)[G]) & \cong & G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \\
 \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow a \\
 G_0(S[G]) & \cong & G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])
 \end{array}$$

where  $a = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i [\Lambda^i V_1]$ . We know by Lemma 1.2 that  $\text{ann}(\underline{a}) = \mathbf{Z} \cdot [\mathbf{C}[G]]$ . Then  $\text{Ker } \tau = \mathbf{Z} \cdot [S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)[G]]$ . Since  $(S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)[G])^G \cong S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  it follows that

$$K_1 = \mathbf{Z} \cdot [S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)].$$

So we get an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \cdot [S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)] &\rightarrow G_0(R/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap R) \\
 &\xrightarrow{t} G_0(R) \xrightarrow{p} G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])/(\underline{a}) \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $p$  is given by  $p[(S \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} W)^G] = [W] + (\underline{a})$ . Therefore  $p$  corresponds to the map of the statement via the isomorphism

$$G_0(R/(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \cap R) \cong G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])/(\underline{a}).$$

*Remark.* Since

$$\alpha([(S \otimes W)^G]) = [(S/(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \otimes W)^G],$$

we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G_0(R/(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \cap R) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbf{Z} \amalg \text{Cl}(R) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathbf{Z} \amalg \text{Cl}(R/(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \cap R) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, if  $k > 1$ , the map  $\xi$  is an isomorphism, as in this case

$$\text{Cl}(R) \cong \text{Cl}(R/(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \cap R) \cong \text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{C} - \{0\}), \quad \text{see [4].}$$

**Corollary 3.1.** *Suppose that  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} V_2$ ;  $V_1 = \mathbf{C}x_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \dots \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}x_k$ ;  $V_2 = \mathbf{C}x_{k+1} \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \dots \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}x_n$  and that*

(1)  *$G$  acts freely on  $V_1$ .*

(2)  *$\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]^G$  is regular. (This holds iff  $\dim V_2 = 1$  or  $\text{Im}(G \rightarrow GL(V_2))$  is generated by pseudo-reflections.)*

*Then we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \alpha \rightarrow G_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(R/(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_k) \cap R) \rightarrow 0$$

*where  $\text{Ker } \alpha$ , generated by  $[R/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap R]$  is cyclic of order  $|\text{Im}(G \rightarrow GL(V_2))|$ .*

Moreover, if we assume in addition, that  $G$  acts trivially on  $V_2$  then  $G_0(R) \cong G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]^G)$ .

*Proof.* In this case

$$G_0(R/(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cap R) = \mathbf{Z} \cdot [R/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap R]$$

and

$$\text{Rank}_{R/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap R}(S/(x_1, \dots, x_k \cap R)) = |\text{Im}(G \rightarrow GL(V_2))|.$$

The assertion follows using the exact sequence of the theorem.

Moreover, if  $G$  acts trivially on  $V_2$  then  $\text{Im}(G \rightarrow GL(V_2)) = \{I\}$ .  $\square$

## 2. APPLICATIONS

We now give some applications of the sequence of Theorem 1.1.

For the next proposition we need the following results, found in the book of J. A. Wolf [17].

**Theorem 2.1** [17]. (a) *Let  $G$  be a finite group which admits a free  $\mathbf{C}$ -representation. Let  $\psi_G(\mathbf{C})$  denote the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible free representations of  $G$ . Then the elements of  $\psi_G(\mathbf{C})$  have the same degree  $\delta(G)$ .*

(b) *If, moreover,  $G = [G, G]$  (the commutator subgroup of  $G$ ) then  $\delta(G) = 2$  and  $G$  is the binary icosahedral group,  $I^*$ .*

**Proposition 2.2.** *Suppose that  $G$  admits a free representation and suppose that  $\delta(G) = 2$  or 1. Suppose  $G$  acts freely on  $V$  where  $\dim V = 2n$  and  $R = \mathbf{C}[[V]]^G$ . Then  $|\text{Torsion } G_0(R)| = |G|^{n-1} \cdot |G^*|^n$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on  $n$ .

If  $n = 1$ , we know that  $G_0(R) \cong \mathbf{Z} \amalg G^* \cong \mathbf{Z} \amalg \text{Cl}(R)$ .

Suppose the result is valid for  $n - 1$ ,  $n > 1$ . Then using Theorem 2.1 we decompose  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} V_2$  where  $\dim V_1 = 2$ . So we have by Theorem 1.1 an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]^G)/\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]] \rightarrow \text{Tors}(G_0(R)) \rightarrow \text{Tors } G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]^G) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\text{Tors}$  means torsion. By the induction hypothesis

$$|\text{Tors } G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]^G)| = |G|^{n-2} \cdot |G^*|^{n-1},$$

and we have to prove that

$$|G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]^G)/\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]]| = |G||G^*|.$$

We have  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]^G) \cong \mathbf{Z} \amalg G^*$  and, using this isomorphism as identification,  $[\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]] = (|G|, \beta)$  for some  $\beta$ .

Hence we have an exact sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \amalg G^* \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]^G)/\mathbf{Z} \cdot [\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]] \rightarrow 0 \\ 1 \rightarrow (|G|, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z} \amalg G^*, \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cdot |G|} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]^G)/\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]], \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(G^*, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

so we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/|G|\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]^G)/\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]] \rightarrow G^* \rightarrow 0$$

and we are done.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose that  $V$  admits a  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -module decomposition of the form  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} V_2$  and that  $G$  acts freely on  $V_1$ ,  $V_1 \neq 0$ . Then  $G_0(R) \cong \mathbf{Z}$  if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $G = I^*$ , the binary icosahedral group.
- (2)  $\dim V_1 = 2$ .
- (3)  $G$  acts trivially on  $V_2$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 1.3 we only need to prove that the conditions are necessary.

Assume that  $G_0(R) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $0 = \text{Cl}(R) \cong G^* \cong G/[G, G]$ . Since  $V_1 \neq 0$  we have by Theorem 2.1(b) that  $G = I^*$ , and from Theorem 1.1 we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]^G)/\mathbf{Z} \cdot [\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]] \rightarrow G_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_1]]^G) \rightarrow 0.$$

So, if  $G_0(R) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ , we get that  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]^G) \cong \mathbf{Z} \cdot [\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]]$ , which implies that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]^G} \mathbf{C}[[V_2]] = 1$ . Hence  $G$  acts trivially on  $V_2$ . Now by Theorem 2.1, we have  $\dim V_1 = 2n$  and  $V_1 = V_{11} \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} \cdots \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} V_{1n}$  with  $\dim V_{1i} = 2$ .

By a completely analogous argument as before we get  $n = 1$ , i.e.  $\dim V_1 = 2$ .

*Remarks.* (a) Letting  $I$  act freely on  $V$  with  $\dim V = 2$  we get examples of quotient singularities that are UFD, but whose Grothendieck groups are not  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

(b) If  $\delta = 2$  or 1 and  $G$  acts freely on  $V$  we get, in an analogous way to Proposition 2.3, that  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V]]^G) \cong \mathbf{Z} \amalg \text{Cl}(R) \Leftrightarrow \dim R = \dim V = 2$ .

(c) It would be nice to have a characterization of those cases for which  $G_0(R) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ , (without the hypothesis of Proposition 2.3).

We finish this section with the following conjecture.

**Conjecture.** *If  $G$  acts freely on  $V$ ,  $\dim V \geq 2$  then  $G_0(R) \cong \mathbf{Z} \amalg \text{cl}(R) \Leftrightarrow \dim V = 2$ .*

### 3

In this section we give some examples and analyze when

$$\text{Ker}(\psi : G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R))$$

is an ideal.

We assume as in the section above that  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} V_2$  and that  $G$  acts freely on  $V_1$ ,  $V_1 \neq 0$ .

We introduce some notation.

Suppose  $H \triangleleft G$  are finite groups. Then we have an exact dense functor

$$(\ )^H : \mathbf{C}[G]\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[G/H]\text{-mod}.$$

If we take  $\text{Ker}(\ )^H$  to be the subcategory of modules  $N$  s.t.  $N^H = 0$ , and let  $e_H = (1/|H|) \sum_{\sigma \in H} \sigma$ , we have that  $\text{Ker}(\ )^H = \mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_H) - \text{mod}$ . Hence we have the following split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_H)) \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{C}[G/H]) \rightarrow 0.$$

We remark, in addition, that  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_H))$  is the free abelian group on the classes  $[N]$  of indecomposable  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -modules  $N$  for which  $N^H = N$ .

Finally, observe that since  $H$  is normal,  $N^H$  is a  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -submodule of  $N$ . Therefore, if  $N$  is indecomposable,  $N^H = N$  or  $N^H = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\text{Ker}(G \rightarrow GL(V_2)) = H \neq G$  and that  $\{W_1, \dots, W_n\}$  is a complete set of representatives of indecomposable  $\mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_H)$ -modules. If  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal, then the greatest common divisor of  $\{\dim_{\mathbf{C}} W_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is larger than one.*

The proof is based on the following lemma whose proof is left to the reader.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume there is a commutative ring structure on  $U = \mathbf{Z} \amalg T$  with identity  $(1, 0)$  and  $T$  is a finite abelian group. Then  $T = \sqrt{0} = J(U)$ , where  $J(U)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $U$  and  $\sqrt{0}$  is the nil radical.*

*Proof of the Proposition 3.1.* Since  $G \neq H$ , it follows that  $[(S/(x_1, \dots, x_k))^G] \neq 0$  in  $G_0(R)$ , as

$$\text{Ker}(G_0((S/(x_1, \dots, x_k))^G) \rightarrow G_0(R)) \cong \mathbf{Z} \cdot [S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)].$$

Suppose  $\gcd\{\dim_{\mathbf{C}} W_i\} = 1$ . Then there is a linear combination  $\sum \lambda_j [W_j]$  with  $\sum \lambda_j \dim_{\mathbf{C}} W_j = 1$ . Using the isomorphism  $G_0(R) \cong \mathbf{Z} \amalg T$ , we get that  $\varepsilon(\sum \lambda_j S \otimes W_j) = ([R], \alpha)$  is invertible.

Hence there is  $\beta \in G_0(S[G])$  s.t.  $(\sum \lambda_j [S \otimes W_j])\beta - 1 \in \text{Ker } \psi$ . As  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal, we have

$$\left( \sum (-1)^i [S \otimes \Lambda^i V_1] \right) \cdot \left( \sum \lambda_j [S \otimes W_j] \beta - 1 \right) \in \text{Ker } \psi.$$

But

$$\left( \sum (-1)^i [S \otimes \Lambda^i V_1] \right) \cdot [S \otimes W_j] = (S/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \otimes W_j)$$

therefore  $\psi(\sum (-1)^i [S \otimes \Lambda^i V_1] \cdot [S \otimes W_j]) = [(S/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \otimes W_j)^G] = 0$ .

It follows that  $\sum (-1)^i [S \otimes \Lambda^i V_1] \in \text{Ker } \psi$ , a contradiction.

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal and  $H \neq G$  then  $H \subset G' = [G, G]$ .*

*Proof.*  $H \subset \text{Ker } \chi$  for all linear characters  $\chi$  of  $G$  so

$$H \subset \bigcap_{\substack{\chi \text{ linear} \\ \text{characters}}} (\text{Ker } \chi) = G'.$$



We recall that in our situation, i.e.  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbb{C}[G]} V_2$ ,  $G$  acting freely on  $V_1 = \mathbb{C}_{x_1} \amalg \cdots \amalg \mathbb{C}_{x_k}$ , we have the following exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & G_0(S/(x_1, \dots, x_k)[G]) \\ \downarrow \cdot (\sum (-1)^i [\Lambda^i V_1]) & & \downarrow \tau \\ G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & G_0(S[G]). \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if  $H = \text{Ker}(G \rightarrow GL(V_2))$ , we have

$$G_0(S[G]) \cong G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]) \cong G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]/(e_H)) \amalg G_0(\mathbb{C}[G/H]),$$

and we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & 0 & \longrightarrow & Z \cdot [\mathbb{C}[G]] & & & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \theta & \longrightarrow & G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]/(e_H)) \amalg G_0(\mathbb{C}[G/H]) & \xrightarrow{\theta=(\theta_1, \theta_2)} & G_0(R/(x_1, \dots, x_k)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \tau & \longrightarrow & G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G_0(R) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & & & & \\ & 0 & & & & & \end{array}$$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Using the notation and remarks above, the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal of  $G_0(\mathbb{C}[G])$ ,
- (b)  $Z[\mathbb{C}[G]] \amalg \text{Ker } \theta$  is an ideal of  $G_0(\mathbb{C}[G])$ ,
- (c)  $\text{Ker } \theta_2$  is an ideal of  $G_0(\mathbb{C}[G/H])$  and  $G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]/(e_H)) \cdot G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]/(e_H)) \subset Z[\mathbb{C}[G]] \amalg \text{Ker } \theta$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b). First we observe that  $Z[\mathbb{C}[G]] = \text{Ker } \tau$  and  $\tau(\text{Ker } \theta) = \text{Ker } \psi$ , so  $\tau^{-1}(\text{Ker } \psi) = Z[\mathbb{C}[G]] + \text{Ker } \theta = Z[\mathbb{C}[G]] \amalg \text{Ker } \theta$ .

Since  $\tau$  is a  $G_0(\mathbb{C}[G])$ -module map it follows that  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal if and only if  $\tau^{-1}(\text{Ker } \psi) = Z[\mathbb{C}[G]] \amalg \text{Ker } \theta$  is an ideal.

(a) and (b) imply (c). First some remarks.

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta(G_0(\mathbb{C}[G/H])) & \xrightarrow{\theta_2|_{\Delta}} & \text{Torsion } G_0(R/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap R) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \\ G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G_0(R) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $\Delta(G_0(\mathbb{C}[G/H])) = \{\alpha \in G_0(\mathbb{C}[G/H]) \text{ s.t. } \dim \alpha = 0\}$ .

Now take  $\alpha \in \Delta(G_0(\mathbf{C}[G/H]))$ . Then

$$\theta(\alpha) = \theta_2(\alpha) \in \text{Torsion } G_0(R/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap R)$$

and therefore  $\psi(\tau(\alpha)) = 0$  if and only if  $\theta(\alpha) = 0$ .

We use these remarks to show that  $\text{Ker } \theta_2$  is an ideal of  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G/H])$ .

We have that

$$\text{Ker } \theta_2 \subset \Delta(G_0(\mathbf{C}[G/H])).$$

Let  $\beta \in \text{Ker } \theta_2$  and  $C$  a  $\mathbf{C}[G/H]$ -module. Then  $\tau([C] \cdot \beta) \in \text{Ker } \psi$ , as  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal and  $[C]\beta \in \Delta(G_0(\mathbf{C}[G/H]))$  so  $\theta_2([C] \cdot \beta) = 0$  i.e.  $[C]\beta \in \text{Ker } \theta_2$ .

The second part of the statement (c) is clear from (b). (c) implies (b).

We have  $\text{Ker } \theta = \text{Ker } \theta_2 \amalg G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_H))$ . We want to prove that  $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[G]] \amalg (G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_H))) \amalg \text{Ker } \theta_2$  is an ideal of  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])$ .

To show this let  $J$  be  $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[G]] \amalg G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_H)) \amalg \text{Ker } \theta_2$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  satisfying  $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[G]]$ ,  $\beta \in G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_H))$  and  $\gamma \in \text{Ker } \theta_2$ . If we show that for any  $\mathbf{C}[G]$  representation  $T$  it is true that  $\alpha[T]$ ,  $\beta[T]$  and  $\gamma[T]$  are in  $J$  we have shown what we wanted. In order to do this we use that for any indecomposable  $G$ -representation, we have  $T^H = T$  or  $T^H = 0$ , and consider two possibilities for  $T$ .

(1) If  $T^H = 0$ .

Then  $\alpha[T] \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[G]]$ ,  $\beta[T] \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[G]] \amalg \text{Ker } \theta$  by hypothesis and that  $\gamma[T] \in G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]/e_H)$  follows from the fact that  $(M \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} T)^G = 0$  for any module  $M$  with  $M^G = 0$ .

(2) If  $T^H = T$  then  $\alpha[T] \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[G]]$ ,  $\beta[T] \in G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_H))$  and  $\gamma[T] \in \text{Ker } \theta_2$ , as  $\gamma \in \text{Ker } \theta_2$  and  $\text{Ker } \theta_2$  is an ideal of  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G/H])$ . This finishes the proof.

**Corollary 3.5.** Suppose  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} V_2$ ,  $G$  acts freely on  $V_1 \neq 0$  and faithfully on  $V_2$ . Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & G_0(\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]^G) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \Sigma(-1)^i [\Lambda^i V_1] & & \downarrow & & \\ G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G_0(R) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where  $\mathbf{C}[[V_2]]^G \cong R/(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap R$ . Furthermore,  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal  $\Leftrightarrow \text{Ker } \theta$  is an ideal.

**Corollary 3.6.** Suppose that  $G$  is commutative,  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} V_2$ , and that  $G$  acts freely on  $V_1$  and set  $H = \text{Ker}(G \rightarrow GL(V_2))$ . Then  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal if and only if one of the following holds.

- (a)  $G = H$ , or
- (b)  $\text{Ker } \theta$  is an ideal and  $H = 1$ .

*Proof.* We only need to prove that the condition is necessary.

If  $G \neq H$ , Corollary 3.3 shows  $H \subset G' = \{1\}$ , so  $H = \{1\}$  and, by Corollary 3.5,  $\text{Ker } \theta$  is an ideal.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $G$  be the quaternion group. Then  $G/G' = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \amalg \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\xi : G \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbf{C})$  be a two dimensional fixed point free representation and  $\eta$

the representation of  $G/G'$  given by

$$\eta(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^a & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^b \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \amalg \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}.$$

We view  $\eta$  as a representation of  $G$  with kernel  $G'$ . Then take the four dimensional representation  $\rho = \xi \amalg \eta$  and  $R = (\mathbf{C}[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]])^{\rho(G)}$ .

With the notations as above,  $\text{Ker } \theta_2$  is an ideal of  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G/G']) \cong \mathbf{Z}[G/G']$ . It coincides with the augmentation ideal, and  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_{G'})) = \mathbf{Z} \cdot [\xi]$ . Since  $\xi \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \xi = \mathbf{C}[G] = 2\xi \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{C}[G]] \amalg \text{Ker } \theta$ , we get that  $\text{Ker}(G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R))$  is an ideal.

We remark that in this example  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_{G'}))$  is not an ideal.

#### 4. ONE RAMIFIED LINE

In this section we consider the following particular case of §3:  $G$  acts on  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} V_2$  (without pseudo-reflections) with  $V_1 = \mathbf{C}x_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \cdots \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}x_{n-1}$  and  $V_2 = \mathbf{C}x_n$ . We assume that  $G$  acts freely on  $V_1$  and that the ramification locus consists of the line  $\mathbf{C}x_n$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\psi : G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R)$  be given by  $\psi([W]) = [(S \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} W)^G]$ . Then  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal if and only if  $G$  acts trivially on  $V_2$ .*

*Proof.* First we show that we can assume  $\text{Ker}(G \rightarrow GL(\mathbf{C}x_n)) = G'$ .

Let  $a$  denote the element  $a = \sum (-1)^i [\Lambda^i V_1]$  of  $G_0(\mathbf{C}[G])$ . Since  $G$  acts freely on  $V_1$  we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} \cdot [\mathbf{C}[G]] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}[S/(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \theta & \longrightarrow & G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & G_0(R/(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \cap R) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \cdot \alpha & & \downarrow \eta & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \psi & \longrightarrow & G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) & \longrightarrow & G_0(R) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We will show that  $\eta = 0$  which is equivalent to  $G$  acting trivially on  $\mathbf{C}x_n$ .

We have that  $\text{Ker}(G \rightarrow GL(\mathbf{C}x_n)) \supset G'$ . If  $\text{Ker}(G \rightarrow GL(\mathbf{C}x_n)) \neq G'$  then for some one dimensional representation  $Z$  we have  $a[Z] \in \text{Ker } \psi$  and it follows that  $(a[Z] \cdot [Z^*]) = a \in \text{Ker } \psi$ . Then  $\eta = 0$ .

We can hence assume  $\text{Ker}(G \rightarrow GL(\mathbf{C}x_n)) = G'$ , in which case  $G/G'$  is cyclic. If  $ka \in \text{Ker } \psi$ , then  $k[R/(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \cap R] = 0$  in  $G_0(R)$ , and so  $k \mid |G/G'|$ .

If now  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are indecomposable  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -modules and  $Z$  is a one dimensional  $\mathbf{C}[G]$ -module, then the multiplicity of  $Z$  in  $W_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} W_2$  is less than or equal to one.

Hence, if  $W$  is an indecomposable representation of dimension bigger than one, we have

$$[W \otimes W^*] = \sum_{\substack{\dim W_i > 1 \\ W_i \text{ indec}}} \lambda_{W_i} [W_i] + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \dim Z_j = 1}}^{|G/G'|} u_j [Z_j].$$

Now suppose that  $G$  is a counterexample for our theorem. We claim that  $\dim W > 1$  implies that all the  $u_j$  in the formula above equal one. For

$$\begin{aligned} a \left( \sum_{\dim W_i > 1} \lambda_{W_i}[W_i] + \sum_{j=1}^{|G/G'|} u_j[Z_j] \right) \\ = a \left( \sum_{\dim W_i > 1} \lambda_{W_i}[W_i] + \sum_{j=1}^{|G/G'|} u_j(Z_j - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{|G/G'|} u_j \right) \in \text{Ker } \psi, \end{aligned}$$

and by the fundamental diagram  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is generated by

$$\{a[W_i], \text{ s.t. } \dim W_i > 1; a(Z_j - 1) \text{ s.t. } \dim Z_j = 1\}.$$

If it is an ideal, we get  $a(\sum u_j) \in \text{Ker } \psi$ . Since the coefficient of  $1_C$  in  $[W \otimes W^*]$  is one it follows that all  $u_j$  equal one.

So  $W \otimes Z \cong W$  for every  $Z$  of dimension one and  $W$  indecomposable with  $\dim W > 1$ . If then  $\chi_W$  is the character associated to  $W$ , we have  $\chi_W(g) = 0$  for all  $g \notin G'$ , as  $\chi_W(g)\rho(g) = \chi_W(g)$  for every linear character  $\rho$ .

Let  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_t)$  be all the irreducible characters of  $G$ . Then by the second relation of orthogonality we get  $\sum_{m=1}^t \chi_m(g) \overline{\chi_m}(g) = |C_G(g)|$ , (the order of the centralizer of  $g$ ). If  $g \notin G'$ , we get from above that  $|C_G(g)| = |G/G'|$ . Now take  $x$  in  $G$  s.t.  $\bar{x}$  generates  $G/G'$ . As  $\langle x \rangle \subset C_G(x)$ , we have  $\langle x \rangle \cap G' = \{1\}$ . Now take  $y \in \langle x \rangle$  with  $|y| = p$ ,  $p$  prime,  $p > 1$ . Then it follows that  $C_G(y) = \langle x \rangle$ . Next, take  $z \in G'$  s.t.  $|z| = q$ ,  $q$  prime,  $q > 1$ . Then  $|\langle y, z \rangle| = p \cdot q$ , as  $\langle y, z \rangle$  acts freely on  $V_1$ . It follows from [17, Theorem 5.8.1] that  $\langle y, z \rangle$  is cyclic, so  $z \in C_G(y)$  and hence  $z \in \langle x \rangle$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

In view of the preceding theorem, the following question arises naturally. Assume  $V = V_1 \amalg V_2$ ,  $G$  acts freely on  $V_1$  and  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim V} [\Lambda^i V_1]$ . Under what conditions is it true that the ideal generated by  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is  $a \cdot G_0(C[G])$ ? In case that  $V_2$  is a line, we have the following answer.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $V = V_1 \amalg_{C[G]} V_2$ , with  $V_1 = Cx_1 \amalg_C \dots \amalg_C Cx_{n-1}$ ,  $V_2 = Cx_n$ , and set  $H = \text{Ker}(G \rightarrow GL(V_2))$ . Suppose that  $G$  acts freely on  $V_1$ , with  $\dim V_1 > 1$  and set  $d = \gcd\{\dim_C W \text{ s.t. } W \in \text{Ind}(C[G]/(\underline{e}_H))\}$ .*

*Let  $\underline{I}$  be the ideal generated by  $\text{Ker}(G_0(C[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R))$  and*

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim V_1} (-1)^i [\Lambda^i V_1].$$

*Then  $\underline{I} = (\underline{a}) = aG_0(C[G])$  if and only if  $d = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Using the fundamental diagram, it follows that  $(\underline{a}) = \underline{I} \Leftrightarrow a \in \underline{I}$ . If  $d = 1$ , then by similar arguments as before,  $\underline{a} \in \underline{I}$ .

Suppose  $a \in \underline{I}$ . If  $H \neq G'$  then  $d = 1$ . So suppose  $H = G'$ . Then  $\underline{I}$  is the ideal generated by the set

$$\{aW : W \text{ indecomposable and } \dim W > 1, a(Z - 1) \text{ s.t. } \dim Z = 1\}.$$

Since

$$a = \sum_{\substack{\dim W > 1 \\ W \text{ indec}}} \lambda_W aW + \sum_{\dim Z = 1} u_Z a(Z - 1)$$

and both  $\lambda_W$  and  $u_Z \in G_0(C[G])$ .

Therefore

$$a \left( 1 - \sum_{\substack{\dim W > 1 \\ W \text{ indec}}} \lambda_W W - \sum_{\dim Z = 1} u_Z (Z - 1) \right) = 1,$$

by Lemma 1.2. As  $\text{ann}(\underline{a}) = \mathbf{Z} \cdot [\mathbf{C}[G]]$ , it follows

$$1 - \sum_{\dim W = 1} \lambda_W W - \sum_{\dim Z = 1} u_Z (Z - 1) = m[\mathbf{C}[G]]$$

for some  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Consequently,  $m|G| = \sum_{\dim W > 1} (\dim \lambda_W)(\dim W) - 1$ . Since  $d | m$  and  $d | \dim W$ , it follows that  $d | 1$ , so  $d = 1$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.3.** Let  $G$  be the binary dihedral group  $D_3^*$ .

$$D_3^* = \langle A, B : A^3 = B^4 = 1, BAB^{-1} = A^{-1} \rangle, \quad D_3^*/(D_3^*)' = D_3^*/\langle A \rangle \cong \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}.$$

It has 2 one-dimensional representations and 2 two-dimensional representations. Let  $\Gamma$  be the following representation

$$\Gamma(A) = \begin{bmatrix} W & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & W^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad W = e^{2\pi i/3},$$

$$\Gamma(B) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{bmatrix}; \quad i^2 = -1.$$

Then we are in the situation above and the number  $d$  in the Proposition 4.2 is 2, so  $I \neq (\underline{a})$ .

## 5. FORMAL POWER SERIES

In this section we prove that for any quotient singularity  $R$ ,

$$G_0(R) \cong G_0(R[[t]]),$$

where  $R[[t]]$  is the ring of formal series in the variable  $t$ , with coefficients from  $R$ .

This fact is a consequence of the following.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Suppose  $U$  is a commutative complete ring. Suppose further that there is a module  $T$  in  $\text{mod-}U$  s.t.  $G_0(U[[t]])$  is generated by the classes of objects in  $\text{add}(T \otimes_U U[[t]])$ . Then the functor from  $\text{mod } U$  to  $\text{mod } U[[t]]$  given by  $N \rightarrow N \otimes_U U[[t]]$  induces an isomorphism  $\varphi : G_0(U) \rightarrow G_0(U[[t]])$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T[[t]] = A_1 \amalg_{U[[t]]} \cdots \amalg_{U[[t]]} A_n$  with  $A_i$  indecomposable. Then  $T[[t]] \cong T \otimes_U U[[t]] \cong \coprod_{i=1}^n (A_i/tA_i) \otimes U[[t]]$  and  $A_i/tA_i \neq 0$  because  $t \in \text{rad } U[[t]]$ .

Since  $U[[t]]$  is complete by the Krull-Schmidt Theorem, it follows that  $A_i/tA_i$  is indecomposable for every  $i$  and that for each  $i$  there is a  $j$  s.t.  $A_i \cong A_j/tA_j \otimes_U U[[t]]$ . Then every  $[A_i]$  is in the image of  $\varphi$ . But by hypothesis the elements  $[A_i]$  generate  $G_0(U[[t]])$ , whence it follows that  $\varphi$  is onto.

Since it holds for any ring  $U$  that the map  $\varphi$  is a split monomorphism, it follows that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $R = S^G$  be a quotient singularity. Then  $G_0(R) \cong G_0(R[[t]])$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $|G|^{-1} \in R$ , we know that the fixed point functor from  $S[G]$ -mod to  $R$ -mod is exact and dense. Hence it induces an epimorphism  $G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R)$ .

$G_0(S[G])$  is generated by the classes of direct summands of  $S$ . Now we extend the action of  $G$  to  $S[[t]]$ , letting  $G$  act trivially on  $t$ . Then  $(S[[t]])^G \cong R[[t]]$  and  $G_0(R[[t]])$  is generated by the classes of summands of  $S[[t]] \cong S \otimes_R R[[t]]$ . So by Proposition 5.1 the map  $\varphi : G_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(R[[t]])$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Counterexample 5.5.** The ring  $U = Q(u)[[x, y, z]]/(y^2 + x^3 + uz^6)$ , where  $Q(u)$  is the field of fractions of  $Q[u]$ , is factorial, see [11]. It is easy to prove that  $[Q(u)] = 0$  in  $G_0(U)$ . Since  $U$  has dimension two, it follows that  $G_0(U) \cong \mathbb{Z}[U]$ . But  $\text{Cl}(U[[t]])$  is not torsion, so  $\text{rank } G_0(U[[t]]) > 1$ . Thus there is no isomorphism between  $G_0(U)$  and  $G_0(U[[t]])$ .

*Remarks.* (1) We do not know any counterexample where  $U$  has a field of representatives which is algebraically closed.

(2) The fact that  $G_0(U) \cong G_0(U[t])$ , see [6], shows an interesting difference in the behavior of the polynomial ring  $U[t]$  and the formal power series  $U[[t]]$ .

### CHAPTER III. SOME 3-DIMENSIONAL CASES

In this chapter we are going to compute some cases of Grothendieck groups of three dimensional quotient singularities. We will analyze some related questions, for example:

- (a) When is  $\text{Ker}(G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R))$  an ideal?
- (b) When is  $G_0(R)$  generated by the classes  $[M]$  of  $R$ -modules  $M$  that are free outside the maximal ideal?

We remark that the subgroup of  $G_0(R)$  generated by the classes of  $R$ -modules  $M$  that are free outside maximal ideals is a subring of the Grothendieck ring. (See §2, Chapter II for definitions.) We denote it by  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *Suppose  $R = S^G = C[[V]]^G$ ;  $G \subset GL(V)$  without pseudo-reflections. If  $G$  is commutative, then  $G_0(R) = \mathcal{A}(R)$  if and only if  $G$  acts freely on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $G$  does not act freely and let  $\underline{p}$  be a nonmaximal prime which is ramified. Clearly, if  $G_0(R) = \mathcal{A}(R)$ , then  $G_0(R_{\underline{p}}) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot [R_{\underline{p}}]$ , so  $R_{\underline{p}}$  is a U.F.D. But as  $G$  is commutative,  $S_{\underline{p}}$  can be decomposed as a direct sum of rank one reflexive modules.

Since  $R_{\underline{p}}$  is a U.F.D. every rank one reflexive module is free, so  $S_{\underline{p}}$  is  $R_{\underline{p}}$  free.

Then, by the theorem on the purity of branch locus,  $R_{\underline{p}}$  is regular, a contradiction.  $\square$

From now on we assume that  $G \subset GL(3, C)$  is finite and that it contains no pseudo-reflection; set  $S = C[[x_1, x_2, x_3]]$  and  $R = S^G$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** *Suppose  $G$  does not act freely on  $V$  and let  $\{\underline{p}_1, \dots, \underline{p}_n\}$  be the nonmaximal ramified primes of  $R$ . With  $T = (\bigcup_{i=1}^m \underline{p}_i)$  one has  $G_0(R)/\mathcal{A}(R) \cong G_0(RT^{-1})/\mathbf{Z} \cdot [RT^{-1}]$ .*

*Proof.* We have a structure of  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ -mod on  $G_0(RT^{-1})$  given by  $[A]\alpha = (\text{rank } A)\alpha$  for  $A$  an  $R$ -module, free outside the maximal ideal and,  $\alpha \in G_0(RT^{-1})$ .

Since  $[(A \otimes_R M)T^{-1}] = (\text{rank } A) \cdot [MT^{-1}]$ , for  $A$  free outside the maximal ideal and  $M$  any  $R$ -module, we get that the natural map  $\varphi : G_0 \rightarrow G_0(RT^{-1})$  is an  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ -module homomorphism.

Let  $\underline{D}$  be the subcategory of modules  $M$  s.t.  $MT^{-1} = 0$ . Then if  $M \in \underline{D}$  we take  $0 \rightarrow \Omega^3 M \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  exact with  $F_i$  free and finitely generated, so that  $\Omega^3 M$  is a Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module. The fact that  $(\Omega^3 M)T^{-1}$  is free implies that  $[\Omega^3 M]$  is in  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ . We get that

$$\text{Ker}(G_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(RT^{-1})) \subset \mathcal{A}(R),$$

hence

$$G_0(R)/\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{Z}[RT^{-1}]) \cong G_0(RT^{-1})/\mathbf{Z}[RT^{-1}]$$

and

$$\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{Z}[RT^{-1}]) = \mathbf{Z}[R] \amalg \text{Ker } \varphi \subset \mathcal{A}(R).$$

Since  $\mathbf{Z}(R) \subset \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{Z}[RT^{-1}])$  we get  $\mathcal{A}(R) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{Z}[RT^{-1}])$  and

$$G_0(RT^{-1})/\mathbf{Z}[RT^{-1}] \cong G_0(R)/\mathcal{A}(R) \cong \text{Torsion}(G_0(RT^{-1})).$$

**Corollary 1.3.** *With the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2, the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $G_0(R) = \mathcal{A}(R)$ .
- (2)  $G_0(RT^{-1}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ .
- (3)  $RT^{-1}$  is a U.F.D. and  $[(R/\underline{p}_i)T^{-1}] = 0$  in  $G_0(RT^{-1})$  for  $\underline{p}_i$  a nonramified prime ideal.  $\square$

1.4. We finish the paper by looking at three special cases in dimension three.

*Case 1.* Suppose  $V = (\mathbf{C}x_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}x_2) \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} \mathbf{C}x_3$  and the ramification locus consists of the line  $\mathbf{C}x_3$ .

Then one has the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_0(R/(x_1, x_2) \cap R)/\mathbf{Z}[S/(x_1, x_2)] \rightarrow G_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(R/(x_3) \cap R) \rightarrow 0$$

and  $G_0(R/(x_3) \cap R) \cong \mathbf{Z} \amalg \text{Cl}(R) \cong \mathbf{Z} \amalg G^*$ .

We know (Theorem 5.1 of Chapter II) that  $\text{Ker}(G_0(S[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R))$  is an ideal if and only if  $G$  acts trivially on  $\mathbf{C}x_3$ .

We have, in this case, a characterization of  $\mathcal{A}(R) = G_0(R)$ . We have shown (Proposition 1.2) that  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is generated by the classes  $[M]$ , of  $R$ -modules  $M$ , s.t.  $M_{\underline{p}}$  is free, where  $\underline{p} = (x_1, x_2) \cap R$ .

*Claim.*  $[R/((x_1, x_2) \cap R)]_{\underline{p}} = 0$  in  $G_0(R_{\underline{p}})$ .

*Proof.* To begin with, assume that  $G$  is cyclic. Then we can suppose that  $G$  acts diagonally on  $V$  and that  $\mathbf{C}[[x_2, x_3]] \cong S/(x_1)$  is a  $G$ -module. As  $[(S/(x_1))]^G = R/(x_1) \cap R$  is an integrally closed domain, we see that

$$R/((x_1) \cap R) \otimes R_{\underline{p}} = (R/(x_1) \cap R)_{\underline{p}}$$

is a DVR. Let  $t$  be a generator of the maximal ideal. We have

$$0 \rightarrow \left( \frac{R}{(x_1) \cap R} \right)_{\underline{p}} \xrightarrow{t} \left( \frac{R}{(x_1) \cap R} \right)_{\underline{p}} \rightarrow \left( \frac{R}{(x_1, x_2) \cap R} \right)_{\underline{p}} \rightarrow 0$$

which shows that  $[(R/(x_1, x_2) \cap R)_{\underline{p}}] = 0$  in  $G_0(RT^{-1})$ .

If  $G$  is not cyclic, set  $H = \text{Ker}(\bar{G} \rightarrow GL(Cx_3))$  and take  $\sigma$  s.t.  $\bar{\sigma}$  generates  $G/H$ . Then

$$\left[ \left( \frac{S}{(x_1, x_2)} \right)^G \right] = \left[ \left( \frac{S}{(x_1, x_2)} \right)^{G/H} \right] = \left[ \frac{R}{(x_1, x_2) \cap R} \right] = 0$$

in  $G_0(C[[V]]^{(\sigma)})$ , so  $[(S/(x_1, x_2))^G] = 0$  in  $G_0(R)$ .

As  $G_0(R) = \mathcal{A}(R)$  if and only if  $R_{\underline{p}}$  is a U.F.D., we need that  $(S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_i)_{\underline{p}}^G \cong R_{\underline{p}}$  for every  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module  $W_i$  with  $\dim W_i = 1$ .

We have ring homomorphisms  $\mathbb{C}((x_3))[G] \xrightarrow{i} S_{\underline{p}}[G] \xrightarrow{\pi} (S_{\underline{p}}/pS_{\underline{p}})[G] \cong \mathbb{C}((x_3))[G]$  and  $\pi i = I_{\mathbb{C}((x_3))[G]}$ . Then the idempotents of  $\mathbb{C}((x_3))[G]$  can be lifted to  $S_{\underline{p}}[G]$ . Hence the Krull-Schmidt property holds for projective  $S_{\underline{p}}[G]$ -modules. It follows that the modules of the form  $S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_i$  with  $\dim W_i = 1$ , for which  $(S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_i)^G \cong R_{\underline{p}}$ , are exactly the ones with  $W_i \in \mathbb{C}[G/H]$ . Then one sees that for  $R_{\underline{p}}$  to be a U.F.D. it is necessary and sufficient that  $H = G' = [G, G]$ .

*Remarks.* (1) There are various examples where  $G \subset GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  contains no pseudo-reflections and  $G/G'$  is cyclic, see [9]. Using these, we construct examples where  $\text{Ker}(G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R))$  is not an ideal but  $\mathcal{A}(R) = G_0(R)$ .

(2) If  $G$  acts trivially on  $Cx_3$  then  $\text{Ker}(G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R))$  is an ideal and  $\mathcal{A}(R) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot [R]$ .

*Case 2.* Suppose that the span of the ramification locus has dimension two.

The span of the ramification locus is a  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module so in this case  $V = V_1 \amalg_{\mathbb{C}[G]} V_2$ , where  $V_2$  is the span of the ramification locus, that has dimension two, and  $\dim V_1 = 1$ . Moreover  $G$  acts freely on  $V_1$  which implies that  $G$  is cyclic. To continue our discussion we need the following lemma, which is easy to prove.

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $G$  be a commutative group acting on a three dimensional vector space without pseudo-reflections. If*

$$V = \mathbb{C}x_1 \amalg_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathbb{C}x_2 \amalg_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathbb{C}x_3,$$

*then the ramification locus is contained in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{C}x_i$ . Therefore if the span of ramification locus has dimension two, we can assume  $V = \mathbb{C}x_1 \amalg_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathbb{C}x_2 \amalg_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathbb{C}x_3$  with ramification locus equal to  $\mathbb{C}x_2 \cup \mathbb{C}x_3$ .*

We describe  $G_0(R)$  in this case. Since  $G(R/(x_2, x_3) \cap R) = \mathbb{Z}$ , Theorem 1.1 of Chapter II yields the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_0(R/(x_1) \cap R)/\mathbb{Z}[S/(x_1)] \rightarrow G_0(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows that  $\text{Torsion } G_0(R) = \text{Im}(G_0(R/(x_1) \cap R) \rightarrow G_0(R))$ .

Suppose  $G = \langle \sigma : \sigma^m = 1 \rangle$  and that the representation associated with  $V$  is given by

$$\sigma \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^{k_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi^{k_2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } \xi \text{ is a primitive } m\text{th root of unity.}$$



If we want no pseudo-reflections, we need  $((k_1, k_2), m) = 1$ , where  $(a, b)$  denotes the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Let  $K$  be the subgroup generated by  $\sigma^{m/(m, k_1)}$  and  $\sigma^{m/(m, k_2)}$ . Then  $K = \langle \sigma^a \rangle$  where

$$a = m/((m, k_1) \cdot (m, k_2))$$

and  $|G/K| = a$ . Moreover  $\text{Im}(K \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}x_2 \amalg \mathbb{C}x_3))$  is the subgroup of  $G$  generated by pseudo-reflections with respect to the representation

$$\sigma \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \xi^{k_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^{k_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have the isomorphisms

$$(**) \quad G_0(R/(x_1 \cap R)) \cong \mathbf{Z}[R/(x_1) \cap R] \amalg (G/K)^* \cong \mathbf{Z} \amalg (G/K).$$

We have the isomorphism

$$S/(x_1) = (m/a)(S/(x_1))^{(G/K)} \cong (m/a) \coprod_{i=1}^a (S/(x_1) \otimes W_i)^{G/K},$$

where  $T = \{W_i\}$  is the set of indecomposable  $\mathbf{C}[G/k]$  representations. So using the isomorphism  $(**)$  we obtain

$$[S/(x_1)] \rightarrow (m/a) \left( a, \sum_{\alpha_i \in T} \alpha_i \right) = \left( m, (m/a) \sum_{\alpha_i \in T} \alpha_i \right).$$

It follows that if  $m/a$  is even or  $a$  is odd and  $(m/a) \sum_{\alpha_i \in T} \alpha_i = 0$ , in which case

$$G_0(R/(x_1) \cap R)/\mathbf{Z}[S/(x_1)] \cong G^* \amalg (G/K)^* = \langle [(S/(x_1))^G] \rangle \amalg \langle [S/(x_1, x_2)^G] \rangle.$$

If  $m/a$  is odd and  $a$  is even then in the cyclic group  $(G/K)^*$  there is exactly one element of order 2, let us say  $\alpha_1$ , and via the isomorphism we have  $(m/a)(\sum_{\alpha_i \in T} \alpha_i) = \alpha_1$  and  $[S/(x_1)] \rightarrow (m, \alpha_1)$  with  $2[S/(x_1)] = (2m, 0)$ . So  $[(S/(x_1))^G]$  is an element of order  $2m$  of  $G_0(R)$  and

$$\langle (S/(m_1))^G \rangle \cap \langle (S/(x_1, x_2))^G \rangle$$

is a group of order two.

Torsion  $G_0(R)$  has exponent  $2m$ . So there is an epimorphism  $\pi : G_0(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/(a/2)\mathbf{Z}$  whose kernel is the subgroup generated by  $(S/(x_1))^G$ . If we take the element  $t = 2m/a[(S/(x_1))^G] - [(S/(x_1, x_2))^G]$  then

$$\text{Torsion } G_0(R) = \langle [(S/(x_1))^G] \rangle \amalg \langle 2m/a[(S/(x_1))^G] - [(S/(x_1, x_2))^G] \rangle.$$

Another question that we want to answer is: When is  $\text{Ker}(G_0(\mathbf{C}[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R))$  an ideal? By Corollary 3.5 of Chapter II this is equivalent with  $\text{Ker } \theta$  being an ideal. One can prove that this happens iff  $K = G$  which happens if and only if the action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{C}x_2 \amalg \mathbb{C}x_3$  is generated by pseudo-reflections.

*Case 3.* We finally look at the following special case.  $G$  is a cyclic group acting on  $V = \mathbb{C}x_1 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} \mathbb{C}x_2 \amalg_{\mathbf{C}[G]} \mathbb{C}x_3$  with span of the ramification locus equal to  $V$ . We see by Lemma 1.5 that the ramification locus is  $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{C}x_i$ .

One can show that a cyclic group has a 'three dimensional representation with these properties if and only if there is  $H \subset G$  with  $H = H_1 \times H_2 \times H_3$  and  $H_i = \text{Ker}(G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}x_i))$ ,  $H_i \neq 0$ .

We identify  $G_0(\mathbb{C}[G])$  with the ring of characters of  $G$  and we want to describe  $\text{Ker}(G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]) \rightarrow G_0(R))$ . Let  $\chi_i$  be the character associated with  $G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}x_i)$  and  $\chi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3$  the character associated with  $V$ . Let  $\underline{b} = \bigcap_{i \neq j} (x_i, x_j)$  which is an  $S[G]$ -submodule of  $S$ . Then we have

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \theta & \longrightarrow & G_0(S/\underline{b}[G]) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & G_0((S/\underline{b})^G) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \eta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \psi & \longrightarrow & G_0(S[G]) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G_0(R) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Take  $t \in m_R \setminus \underline{b}$  to obtain a commutative exact diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & \langle \text{fl } S/\underline{b}[G]/(\underline{e}) \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle \text{fl } S/\underline{b}[G] \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle \text{fl } R/\underline{b} \cap R \rangle & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 & \\ G_0(S/\underline{b}[G]/\underline{e}) & \rightarrow & G_0(S/\underline{b}[G]) & \rightarrow & G_0(R/\underline{b} \cap R) & \rightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow G_0((S/\underline{b})[t^{-1}][G]/(\underline{e})) & \rightarrow & G_0(S/\underline{b}[t^{-1}][G]) & \rightarrow & G_0((R/\underline{b} \cap R)[t^{-1}]) & \rightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

where  $\langle \text{fl } G_0(-) \rangle$  means the subgroup of  $G_0(-)$  generated by the classes of finite length modules. We can show then that  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is the subgroup generated by the following set:

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^3 (1 - \chi_i) G_0(\mathbb{C}[G]), \right. \\ (1 - \chi_2)(1 - \chi_3) \chi_{j_1} : \chi_{j_1} \in \text{Ind}(\mathbb{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_{H_1})), \\ (1 - \chi_1)(1 - \chi_3) \chi_{j_2} : \chi_{j_2} \in \text{Ind}(\mathbb{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_{H_2})), \\ \left. (1 - \chi_1)(1 - \chi_2) \chi_{j_3} : \chi_{j_3} \in \text{Ind}(\mathbb{C}[G]/(\underline{e}_{H_3})) \right\}$$

where

$\chi_i$  is the representation associated with  $G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}(x_i))$ ,  
 $H_i = \text{Ker } \chi_i$  and  $\chi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3$  is associated with  $V$ .

We have that  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal if and only if  $\text{Ker } \psi = \text{Im } \tau$  in diagram (1), or equivalently  $\eta = 0$ .

This is the case if  $H = G$ , where  $H = H_1 \times H_2 \times H_3$  with  $H_i = \text{Ker}(G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}x_i))$ .

We recall that if  $G$  is cyclic then  $G_0(S[G])$  is isomorphic to the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[G^*]$ . Moreover  $G^* \cong G$ . Using this isomorphism as identification and denoting by  $\Delta$  the augmentation ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ ,  $\Delta$  can be identified with the kernel of the map from  $G_0(S[G])$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , given by  $[M] \rightarrow \text{rank}_S(M)$  for a  $S[G]$ -module  $M$ .

Putting together these observations, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $G$  be a cyclic group acting on a three dimensional vector space  $V$  and let  $\{\underline{q}_i\}$  be the set of ramified prime ideals in  $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, x_3]]^G$ .*

Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is an ideal.
- (2)  $\text{Ker } \psi$  is the ideal generated by  $[S/q_i]$ .
- (3)  $\text{Ker } \psi = \Delta^3$  or  $\Delta^2$ . Moreover if  $\text{Ker } \psi = \Delta^3$  then the action is free.
- (4) Every Cohen-Macaulay module is a Grothendieck module.
- (5) The action is free or  $G_0(R) \cong \mathbb{Z} \amalg \text{Cl}(R)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander, *On the purity of the branch locus*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 116–125.
2. —, *Notes of a course in singularities*, Brandeis University, 1985.
3. —, *Notes of a course in representation of Artin algebras*, Brandeis University.
4. M. Auslander and I. Reiten, *Grothendieck groups of algebras and orders*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **39** (1986), 1–51.
5. —, *Grothendieck groups with nilpotent annihilators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 1022–1024.
6. H. Bass, *Algebraic K-theory*, Benjamin, 1968.
7. S. Bochner and W. T. Martin, *Several complex variables*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1948.
8. N. Bourbaki, *Elements of mathematics*, Commutative Algebra, Addison-Wesley, 1972.
9. E. Brieskorn, *Rationale Singularitäten Komplexer Flächen*, Invent. Math. **4** (1968), 336–358.
10. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1956.
11. R. M. Fossum, *The divisor class group of a Krull domain*, Springer-Verlag, 1960.
12. N. Jacobson, *Basic algebra*, Freeman, 1985.
13. M. Nagata, *Local rings*, Krieger, 1975.
14. P. Samuel and O. Zariski, *Commutative algebra*, vol. II, Springer-Verlag, 1960.
15. J. P. Serre, *Groupes finis d'automorphismes d'anneaux, locaux réguliers*, Colloq. d'Algèbre No. 8, École Norm. Sup. de Jeunes Filles, Paris, 1967.
16. R. G. Swan, *K-theory of finite groups and orders*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 149, Springer, 1970.
17. J. A. Wolf, *Spaces of constant curvature*, McGraw-Hill, 1967.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, SÃO PAULO, BRASIL  
E-mail address: enmarcos@ime.usp.br